

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,457 12/11/2003		12/11/2003	Byoung Whi Kim	2013P137	2061	
8791	7590	10/03/2006		EXAMINER		
		OFF TAYLOR OULEVARD	CURS, NA	CURS, NATHAN M		
SEVENTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	ELES, CA	90025-1030		2613		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_^_		
	η		

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/735,457	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit				
		j	Nathan Curs	2613				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 11 De	cember 2003					
•	•		action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for	•—		secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2	2003 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ object	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	he correctio	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/03</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 2, line 5, describes "Fig. 1", but the drawings contain either "Fig .1a" or "Fig. 1b".

On page 4, line 14, "sever" should be "server".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "a wavelength division multiplexing" should be simply "wavelength division multiplexing".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the optical multicast module". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/735,457

Art Unit: 2613

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ge et al. ("Ge")

 (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0057861).

Regarding claims 1 and 3, Ge discloses a method for optically copying a packet, in which a packet in a subscriber access network based on a wavelength division multiplexing is broadcasted/multicasted using an optical broadcast/multicast module disposed independently of an optical label exchange switch, the method comprising: (a) dividing the packet, which is received from an optical group exchange controlled by the optical label exchange switch, into a header and a payload (fig. 1 and paragraph 0031); (b) applying the payload to an i-th port of the optical label exchange switch (paragraphs 0044 and 0045); (c) leading the payload to the optical broadcast/multicast module by controlling the optical label exchange switch so that when the header represents a label for broadcasting/multicasting, a corresponding broadcasting/multicasting port of the optical label exchange switch is set as an output port of the optical label exchange switch is set as an output port of the optical label exchange switch (paragraphs 0037, 0038, 0057, 0058, 0064 and 0065); and (d) reframing the header and the payload and transmitting the reframed header and the payload to a backbone network using the optical broadcast/multicast module (paragraph 0043).

Regarding claim 2, Ge discloses the method of claim 1, wherein step (d) comprises: (d1) storing the payload in a buffer (paragraphs 0039, 0048 and 0049); (d2) outputting the payload and the header from the buffer according to transmission priority and generating a reframing packet (paragraphs 0043 and 0048-0056); (d3) changing the reframing packet into optical signals having various wavelengths (paragraphs 0044 and 0045); (d4) demultiplexing the optical

Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

signals having various wavelengths so as to select only the optical signals having admitted wavelengths (fig. 1 and paragraph 0057); (d5) filtering the demultiplexed optical signals so as to adjust the spectral width of the optical signals to ensure a sufficient transmission distance (paragraphs 0070 and 0071); and (d6) multiplexing and amplifying the filtered optical signals so as to transmit the signals to the backbone network (fig. 1 and paragraphs 0060 and 0072).

Regarding claim 4. The method of claim 3, wherein step (d) comprises: (d1) storing the payload in a buffer (paragraphs 0039, 0048 and 0049); (d2) outputting the payload and the header from the buffer according to transmission priority and generating a reframing packet (paragraphs 0043 and 0048-0056); (d3) changing the reframing packet into optical signals having various wavelengths (paragraphs 0044 and 0045); (d4) demultiplexing the optical signals having various wavelengths so as to select only the optical signals having admitted wavelengths (fig. 1 and paragraph 0057); (d5) filtering the demultiplexed optical signals so as to adjust the spectral width of the optical signals to ensure a sufficient transmission distance (paragraphs 0070 and 0071); (d6) passing the multicast-objected wavelength in the filtered optical signals and blocking remaining optical signals (paragraphs 0057, 0064, 0065, and 0070); and (d7) multiplexing and amplifying the multicast-objected signal so as to transmit the signal to the backbone network (fig. 1 and paragraphs 0060 and 0072).

Double Patenting

7. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The

Application/Control Number: 10/735,457 Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35

U.S.C. 101.

8. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 3 will be objected to

under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application

are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a

slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a

substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to N.

Curs whose telephone number is (571) 272-3028. The examiner can normally be reached on

M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is (800) 786-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600